- 1 number of households to be in. Right?
- 2 A Dealing with a plan that the NFL
- 3 Network had is not something that would have
- 4 been in the scope of my responsibilities.
- 5 Q It wouldn't have been relevant to
- 6 your work whether the NFL Network was meeting
- 7 its subscriber targets?
- 8 A We would have discussed potential
- 9 goals at any particular point. But, more
- 10 importantly, it would be how we calculate our
- 11 ratings estimates that the advertisers would
- 12 be most interested in.
- 13 Q In fact, you sell advertising
- 14 based on a projection of how many households
- 15 you're going to be in, don't you?
- 16 A We sell advertising that's based,
- in part, by that. It's also based, in part,
- 18 by the popularity of the program.
- 19 Q The number of households is one
- 20 aspect of that. Correct?
- 21 A Yes, it is.
- 22 Q I'd like to show you, if I may,

- 1 what has already been marked and entered into
- 2 evidence as Comcast Exhibit 307.
- 3 MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: If I may
- 4 distribute copies, Your Honor?
- 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: It's already in?
- 6 MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: It's already
- 7 in.
- 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: This is cross
- 9 examination group from yesterday?
- 10 MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: Yes. It was a
- 11 Hawkins exhibit, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I think we
- 13 can use -- we probably have that -- yes, you
- 14 can certainly give that to the witness, but I
- 15 think we've got a copy here.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: What's the number
- 18 of the exhibit?
- MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: 307, Your
- 20 Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. This is
- 22 just an extra of Comcast 307, which has

- 1 already been marked and received. All right.
- 2 You may proceed, sir.
- 3 BY MR, PEREZ-MARQUES:
- 4 Q Mr. Furman, this is an NFL Network
- 5 update presentation, and I'd like to direct
- 6 you to page 4 of the presentation, which ends
- 7 in Bates number ending in 670. Are you there
- 8 at the slide titled, "Subscriber Outlook"?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Do you see on that slide the
- 11 estimate for March 2007 has Comcast at
- subscribers, Time Warner at
- 13 Charter at Cox at
- 14 Cablevision at Insight at
- 15 Mediacom at and then a number for all
- 16 other distributors. Do you see where I'm
- 17 reading?
- 18 A I do.
- 19 Q And you see that Versus original
- 20 plan, the total cable has a
- Is that correct?
- 22 A According to this, yes.

- 1 Q And, in fact, is it consistent
- 2 with your memory, that in 2006 the NFL Network
- 3 was falling short of its subscriber
- 4 projections?
- 5 A I don't have the -- I don't have a
- 6 recollection of what our advertiser-related
- 7 estimates would have been. This is a document
- 8 that is not something that I deal with.
- 9 Q Is it consistent with your
- 10 recollection, though, that in 2006 the NFL
- 11 Network was falling short of projections for
- 12 subscribers?
- 13 A I can neither say yes or not to
- 14 that, because I'm not aware what the
- 15 projections were on this level.
- 16 Q You're not -- you don't have a
- 17 recollection of whether the NFL Network was on
- 18 target, or below target in 2006?
- 19 A I do not.
- 20 Q Okay. Are you aware that the NFL
- 21 Network did not have a deal with Time Warner?
- 22 A I am aware of that, yes.

```
1 Q And are you aware that they didn't
```

- 2 have a deal to be carried on Charter?
- 3 A Charter, I'm not aware of.
- 4 O And Cablevision?
- 5 A Cablevision I am aware of, yes.
- 6 Q And Mediacom?
- 7 A I wouldn't know.
- 8 Q But you were aware, generally,
- 9 that there were significant distributors who
- 10 were not carrying the NFL Network.
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. And, naturally, that was
- 13 an issue for your advertising revenue, was it
- 14 not?
- 15 A It was part of the fact that the
- 16 subscribers of those cable networks were not
- included in our total would have been, because
- 18 more is better. There's no question about
- 19 having less of a footprint would always give
- 20 us more difficulty, so we were looking for the
- 21 largest footprint possible. And if that was
- 22 part of it, it was done in estimates that I

- 1 was not aware of.
- 2 Q Signing a new deal with Time
- 3 Warner, Charter, Cablevision, or Mediacom
- 4 would help your advertising revenue, wouldn't
- 5 it?
- 6 A Any additional distribution would
- 7 help our advertising revenue, yes.
- 8 Q So, it's not specific to Comcast.
- 9 It's any new deal would put you in a better
- 10 competitive position.
- 11 A Increases in distribution would
- 12 put us in a better position.
- 13 Q Do you know how many subscribers
- 14 you could gain if you signed deals with Time
- 15 Warner, Charter, Cablevision, and Mediacom?
- 16 A I don't know.
- 17 Q Okay. Do you know whether it
- 18 would put you above this 50 million subscriber
- 19 threshold that you've talked about?
- 20 A I would have to get that
- 21 information.
- 22 You've never considered that.

- 1 A I have heard numbers, but I have
- 2 no idea if they're valid, or not.
- 3 Q You never had -- withdrawn.
- 4 Now, in fact, in 2006, you had
- 5 significant advertisers that were already
- 6 complaining about the poor distribution of the
- 7 NFL Network. Correct?
- 8 A I would imagine that advertisers
- 9 constantly discuss and challenge us on
- 10 distribution.
- 11 Q In fact, you had significant
- 12 advertisers that wanted out of their
- 13 commitments, because of the weak distribution
- 14 in 2006. Isn't that right?
- 15 A I wouldn't know which significant
- 16 advertisers you were speaking of.
- 17 Q Do you recall any significant
- 18 advertisers who wanted out of their
- 19 commitments in 2006?
- 20 A I would have to go back and get a
- 21 list of our advertisers in 2006.
- 22 Q And, during that time, in the fall

- of `06, Comcast had not yet repositioned the
- 2 NFL Network. Isn't that right?
- 3 A I believe that's correct, yes.
- 4 Q It's your testimony that before
- 5 Comcast ever repositioned the NFL Network, the
- 6 NFL Network was already suffering advertising
- 7 problems because of distribution. Isn't that
- 8 correct?
- 9 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection.
- 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: What's the nature
- 11 of the objection?
- MR. SCHMIDT: I just don't think
- 13 he stated his testimony correctly.
- 14 BY MR. PEREZ-MAROUES:
- 15 O Isn't it a fact that before
- 16 Comcast tiered the NFL Network, the NFL
- 17 Network was already suffering advertising
- 18 problems because of distribution?
- 19 A I don't know if there's an ability
- 20 to answer that with a yes, or a no answer.
- 21 Each advertiser has specific needs, and
- 22 discussions with us. I don't know if there's

- 1 a list of specific ones that would have said
- 2 it's all about distribution. Advertisers
- 3 challenge any network all the time to provide
- 4 different things. In our case, distribution
- 5 is one of them. Different ways of integrating
- 6 with programs, and how they match their
- 7 products is another. So, I would have to go
- 8 back and be able to take a look, and
- 9 understand if there were specific distribution
- 10 issues.
- 11 Q It's not in your experience one
- 12 factor in isolation that drivers an
- 13 advertiser's decision?
- 14 A I believe there are some that are
- 15 weighted more heavily, yes.
- 16 Q But not one factor in isolation.
- 17 A I believe there are some that are
- 18 absolutely weighted more heavily, but there is
- 19 not just one factor.
- 20 Q And, my question was whether
- 21 before Comcast tiered the NFL Network, the NFL
- 22 Network was already suffering advertising-

- 1 related problems because of poor distribution.
- 2 I don't believe you've answered that question.
- 3 A There may have been.
- 4 Q There may have been. You don't
- 5 recall one way or the other.
- 6 A I don't recall, specifically, one
- 7 way or the other.
- 8 MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: Your Honor,
- 9 I'd like to mark for identification Comcast
- 10 Exhibit 506.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Is this a new one?
- 12 MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: It is a new
- 13 one.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you. 506 is
- 15 -- this will be an email from Adam Shaw to Ron
- 16 Furman and Arturo Marques dated November 21,
- 17 2006. And that's identified as Comcast
- 18 Exhibit 506.
- 19 (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT REFERRED
- 20 TO WAS MARKED AS COMCAST EXHIBIT
- 21 506 FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: Your Honor, I

- 1 believe we are short one copy, if I could hand
- 2 one to the witness.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, do you have
- 4 an extra one? That's Exhibit Comcast 406.
- 5 Just hand it to the witness.
- 6 MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: 506.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: 506, I'm sorry.
- 8 BY MR. PEREZ-MARQUES:
- 9 Q Mr. Furman, do you recognize this
- 10 document as a series of emails between
- 11 yourself and Adam Shaw, including Arturo
- 12 Marques, from November 21st and November 20th,
- 13 2006?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And, reading from the bottom with
- 16 the first email, you are writing an email to
- 17 Mr. Marques, within which you state, "We have
- 18 significant advertisers that want out of their
- 19 commitments based on weak distribution. Need
- 20 information to get them off the bandwagon."
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A I do.

- 1 Q Does that refresh your
- 2 recollection that before Comcast tiered, you
- 3 already had advertisers that wanted out of
- 4 their commitments based on weak distribution?
- 5 A What it does is, it reminds me how
- 6 I was reaching out to Mr. Margues to get him
- 7 to give us some clarity as to what the
- 8 difference was between these two pieces here,
- 9 Digital 2, and Digital 1. And commenting to
- 10 him in a nice way that we do, we need this
- 11 information, so get it to us quickly.
- 12 Q And the reason you needed the
- 13 information was because you had significant
- 14 advertisers that wanted out of their
- 15 commitments. Is that correct?
- 16 A I don't recall if there were any
- 17 advertisers connected with that comment, or
- 18 not.
- 19 Q You agree, though, that this is
- 20 what you were telling Mr. Marques here, do you
- 21 not?
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q And you think that you might have
- 2 -- there's no reason to think you would have
- 3 been misleading Mr. Margues, is there?
- 4 A No, I wouldn't have, but I would
- 5 have thought that if there were impending
- 6 issues of immediacy, I would have listed the
- 7 advertiser.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Can we have an
- 9 identification as to who Mr. Marques is?
- 10 BY MR. PEREZ-MARQUES:
- 11 Q Mr. Furman, could you explain?
- 12 Who is he?
- 13 A At the time, Mr. Marques was
- 14 responsible for our affiliate relationships at
- 15 the NFL, so he dealt with the different cable
- 16 companies and other programming companies that
- 17 carry the NFL signal. So, he would deal with
- 18 Comcast and Charter, if they were on, some of
- 19 the different organizations. Cox, if there
- 20 were to be coming, DirecTV, and so on.
- 21 JUDGE SIPPEL: And what was his
- 22 title? Do you know?

- 1 THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Was he equal with
- 3 you, above you, below you, or what?
- 4 THE WITNESS: A different
- 5 department, Your Honor, so I don't know how -
- 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Sounds like the
- 7 government.
- 8 (Laughter.)
- 9 THE WITNESS: Feels that way
- 10 sometimes, too.
- 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Be careful. I
- 12 don't want to get you into -- I don't want to
- 13 take you there.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's a
- 15 separate conversation.
- BY MR. PEREZ-MARQUES:
- 17 Q And, for completeness, can you
- 18 also identify who Mr. Shaw is?
- 19 A Mr. Shaw, at this time, I believe
- 20 was senior to Art Marques, and also in our
- 21 affiliate relations area.
- 22 Q They were the distribution team.

- 1 Correct?
- 2 A They dealt with our folks on the
- 3 distribution side. I don't know if they were
- 4 the only folks.
- 5 Q Their area of responsibility was
- 6 getting the NFL Network distributed. Isn't
- 7 that right?
- 8 A I believe so, yes.
- 9 Q And what you were telling them was
- 10 that you needed information about the
- 11 distribution, because you had significant
- 12 advertisers that were complaining about the
- 13 distribution. Isn't that right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Now, at this time, Comcast was
- 16 carrying the NFL Network broadly, was it not?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q It was carrying the NFL Network in
- 19 compliance with its contract?
- 20 A I wouldn't know. I'm not one to
- 21 have a copy of the contract.
- 22 Q And, at this time, you did not

- 1 have deals with Time Warner and Cablevision.
- 2 Isn't that right?
- 3 A Not to my knowledge.
- 4 Q Have you ever had deals with Time
- 5 Warner and Cablevision?
- 6 A I wouldn't be able to answer that.
- 7 I don't know.
- 8 Q Since you've been there, have
- 9 there ever been deals with Time Warner or
- 10 Cablevision?
- 11 A Not that I'm aware of.
- 12 Q And you refer here to a
- 13 "bandwagon". What does that refer to?
- 14 A It refers to a comment previously
- 15 that we were talking about as distribution
- 16 issues became public, and very evident in the
- 17 business, trade press, and other press, that
- 18 we wanted to have -- or, at least, I wanted to
- 19 have information as to the different segments
- 20 of what this agreement would have, so I could
- 21 best be able to understand it, and relate that
- 22 to the sales organization, and anyone who may

- 1 have asked me.
- 2 Q The bandwagon is a bandwagon of
- 3 advertisers. Is that right?
- 4 A I don't recall if it was
- 5 advertisers, or if it was advertising agents.
- 6 Q But it was one form or another of
- 7 people who buy the advertising from NFL
- 8 Network. Is that right?
- 9 A I don't -- it could, or it
- 10 couldn't be. It could just be terminology for
- 11 a positioning point and understanding the
- 12 ability to pass information to folks.
- 13 Q I'd like to refer you back to --
- 14 the group you work for, is that the Media
- 15 Sales Group?
- 16 A It's one segment of my
- 17 responsibilities, yes.
- 18 Q And does the Media Sales Group
- 19 periodically have off-site meetings?
- 20 A They do.
- 21 Q And what happens as those off-site
- 22 meetings?

- 1 A As you find in any meeting
- 2 regarding past performance, strategy for
- 3 future performance, reviews of ongoing
- 4 business situations, and general exchange of
- 5 business ideas and concepts.
- 6 Q And what's your role in those
- 7 meetings?
- 8 A It varies, depending upon how that
- 9 meeting is structured. I may act as an
- 10 administrator, and someone to help guide
- 11 people to putting together different aspects
- 12 of it. I, generally, try to allow senior
- 13 staff to participate in it, and run certain
- 14 parts of it, so they have the ability to
- 15 present and engage with the information.
- 16 Q What are corporate sponsors at the
- 17 NFL? What does that term refer to?
- 18 A When we talk about corporate
- 19 sponsors, is the NFL has certain companies
- 20 that are official in nature. They have the
- 21 ability to use the NFL trademark shield, so
- 22 you might see them as Pepsi, the official soft

- 1 drink of the NFL, things of that nature; VISA,
- 2 the official credit card of the NFL, and so
- 3 on. There are a number of different ways we
- 4 refer to them, but from the Media Group,
- 5 they're referred to as the corporate sponsors.
- 6 Q Okay. I'll use the term corporate
- 7 sponsors. Now, the corporate sponsors, those
- 8 are based on deals struck between a company
- 9 and the National Football League. Is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A That is correct, yes.
- 12 Q And, as part of that deal, these
- 13 companies get the right to use National
- 14 Football League trademarks in their own
- 15 advertising. That's correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q So, for instance, as you said,
- 18 Pepsi can say they are the official soft drink
- 19 of NFL Football, and put on the NFL logo.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Those are expensive deals, aren't
- 22 they?

```
1 A They vary in levels of investment.
```

- Q What's the range of investment?
- 3 A They can be, again, without -- see
- 4 if I can recall anywhere from approximately
- 5 to approximately per
- 6 year.
- 7 Q Now, as part of those corporate
- 8 sponsorship deals, you frequently require the
- 9 companies to purchase advertising on the NFL
- 10 Network. Isn't that right?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. And that is an instance in
- 13 which the NFL, as part of giving something it
- 14 controls, the trademarks, is requiring a
- 15 benefit to be paid to NFL Enterprises. Isn't
- 16 that right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q How much of your advertising
- 19 revenue comes from corporate sponsors?
- 20 A It varies by year.
- 21 Q How much money did you make from
- 22 corporate sponsors last year advertising for

- 1 the NFL Network?
- 2 A I would have to go back and check
- 3 my records.
- 4 Q What would be your estimate?
- 5 A I would have to go back and check.
- 6 Q The advantage that the NFL Network
- 7 gets because of this relationship with the
- 8 NFL, that makes it easier to attract
- 9 advertising dollars, doesn't it?
- 10 A I'm not sure I understand your
- 11 question.
- 12 Q Advertisers are incentivized to
- 13 buy advertising on the NFL Network in order to
- 14 get valuable NFL trademarks.
- 15 A If I understand what you're
- 16 saying, is that the corporate sponsors, as
- 17 part of an overall NFL investment use the NFL
- 18 Network as one part of their communication
- 19 strategy. The NFL has a variety of different
- 20 assets, which reach out to their fans and
- 21 customers in a very broad way, and, generally,
- 22 the corporate sponsors look to engage across

- 1 a number of those. The NFL Network is
- 2 absolutely a part of that, for them to be able
- 3 to access, yes.
- 4 Q Well, they're, in fact, required
- 5 to advertise on the NFL Network as part of
- 6 their corporate sponsorship deals. Isn't that
- 7 right?
- 8 A It is something that we offer to
- 9 them that is built into many of the deals,
- 10 yes.
- 11 Q Now, when we started this
- 12 afternoon, we were talking about some of the
- 13 other reasons, besides distribution, that the
- 14 NFL Network has had advertising problems.
- 15 Now, is turmoil within specific companies part
- 16 of the reason that the NFL Network has had
- 17 advertising problems?
- 18 A Could you -- just when you say
- 19 "turmoil", I'm trying to understand what -
- 20 Q Internal issues within a company,
- 21 problems specific to one company.
- 22 A Yes.

- 1 Q What are some examples where you
- 2 have had advertising problems because of
- 3 issues that one company was having?
- 4 A The recent 2009
- 5 industry sales numbers for who happens to
- 6 be a corporate sponsor, and how they're coming
- 7 to grips with that, and dealing with the
- 8 issues that confront them.
- 9 Q Any other examples?
- 10 A I would imagine we've had
- ll discussions with a company like and
- 12 their current business environment, what
- 13 they're doing to overcome that. And that also
- 14 affects what they may be doing.
- 15 Q Now, you don't blame Comcast for
- 16 advertising problems that the NFL Network has
- 17 had because of internal problems at
- 18 advertisers, do you?
- 19 A I don't connect the two at all.
- 20 Q Okay. Do you recall a time when
- 21 you felt that was in turmoil
- 22 internally?

```
1 A has been challenged a
```

- 2 number of times. And, yes, I do remember
- having business issues that they were
- 4 overcoming.
- 5 Q There was a time when was
- 6 under discussion as a target to be taken over,
- 7 or reorganized. Isn't that right?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q That was in 2007?
- 10 A I believe so, yes.
- 11 Q And you were concerned, weren't
- 12 you, that that internal turmoil would impact
- 13 the advertising revenue of the NFL Network.
- 14 A It was one of the things that we
- 15 think about with any client, yes.
- 16 Q And, in fact, did you lose
- 17 advertising revenue because of that internal
- 18 turmoil?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q Despite what you viewed as the
- 21 turmoil, you continued pushing for increases
- 22 in the value of the deals with did

- 1 you not?
- 2 A I'd have to answer that with a
- 3 yes.
- 4 MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: Your Honor,
- 5 I'd like to mark for identification Comcast
- 6 Exhibit 508.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: This is a new one?
- 8 MR. PEREZ-MARQUES: Yes, Your
- 9 Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: 508, let's see what
- 11 it looks like. 508 is a two-page, June 5,
- 12 2007 email from Kim Williams to Mr. Furman,
- 13 re: Chicken Soup Deliver." That will be
- 14 marked for identification at this time.
- 15 (WHEREUPON, THE DOCUMENT REFERRED
- 16 TO WAS MARKED AS EXHIBIT COMCAST
- 17 508 FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- BY MR. PEREZ-MARQUES:
- 19 Q Mr. Furman, do you recognize this
- 20 as an email chain between yourself and Kim
- 21 Williams on June 5, 2007?
- 22 A Yes.

```
1 O Who is Kim Williams?
```

- 2 A Kim Williams is the Chief
- 3 Operating Officer of the NFL Network.
- 4 Q Do you report directly to Ms.
- 5 Williams?
- 6 A I do not currently.
- 7 Q Where is she in the organization
- 8 relative to you?
- 9 A She is senior to me at the NFL
- 10 Network.
- 11 Q Now, in this email, the second one
- 12 down, you're saying -- well, the second one
- 13 from the bottom, she is asking you, "How is
- 14 travels?" And you respond, "Issues, everyone
- 15 has issues. in turmoil internally,
- 16 pushing the renewal at significant dollars
- 17 before they are sold or reorg'd." Did I read
- 18 that correctly?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q And what does that mean, "pushing
- 21 the renewal at significant dollars before they
- 22 are sold or reorg'd"?